Fw: Dimock re: Cabot Statement
Ron Borsellino to: Cecil Rodrigues

01/24/2012 02:20 PM

From: Ron Borsellino/R3/USEPA/US
To: Cecil Rodrigues/R3/USEPA/US

History: This message has been forwarded.

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services Shawn Garvin

---- Original Message -----

From: Shawn Garvin

Sent: 01/24/2012 01:16 PM EST

To: Ron Borsellino; Kathy Hodgkiss; Dennis Carney; Michael Kulik

Cc: Daniel Ryan; Dandrea Michael <dandrea.michael@epa.gov>

Subject: Fw: Dimock re: Cabot Statement Shawn would like you to participate with him on this call today.

Thanks. Janice

---- Forwarded by Janice Donlon/R3/USEPA/US on 01/24/2012 01:10 PM -----

Dimock re: Cabot Statement

Tue 01/24/2012 5:00 PM - 5:30 PM

Attendance is for Shawn Garvin

Chair: Mathy Stanislaus/DC/USEPA/US
Sent By: Nelida Torres/DC/USEPA/US

Location: Call in

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Betsaida
Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
Bob
Requir
ed:
Sussman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
Lisa Feldt/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,

Shawn

Garvin/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Becky

Brooks/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,

Option Brendan

al: Gilfillan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,

Shawna

Bergman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Description

Bob Sussman

---- Original Message -----

From: Bob Sussman

Sent: 01/21/2012 05:13 PM

EST

To: Shawn Garvin

Cc: Betsaida Alcantara;

Brendan Gilfillan; Lisa Feldt;

Mathy Stanislaus

Subject: Re: Fw: Cabot

Statement

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Robert M. Sussman
Senior Policy Counsel to the
Administrator
Office of the Administrator
US Environmental Protection Agency

Shawn Garvin FYI... ----- Original Message ----- 01/21/2012 11:18:08 AM

From: Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US

To: Bob

Sussman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,

Betsaida

Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Mathy Stanislaus/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Brendan Gilfillan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Lisa Feldt"

<Feldt.Lisa@epamail.epa.gov>

Date: 01/21/2012 11:18 AM

Subject: Fw: Cabot Statement

FYI...

Humane Zia

---- Original Message -----

From: Humane Zia

Sent: 01/21/2012 10:29 AM

EST

To: Shawn Garvin

Cc: Cecil Rodrigues;

Dennis Carney; Joan Schafer; Jon Capacasa; KarenD Johnson; Kathy Hodgkiss; Michael Kulik; Roy Seneca; Terri-A White

Subject: Re: Cabot

Statement

THIS MEMO MAY CONTAIN
PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY-CLIENT
COMMUNICATIONS

We received Cabot's 104(e) response starting on January 10. We have received about 4 letters with attached CDs from them (in a rolling response), between 1/10 and 1/16. Our 104(e) was sent 1/6.

I haven't looked at the CDs so can't say how many pages are on them.

-Humane		

Humane L. Zia
Senior Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III
Office of Regional Counsel
1650 Arch Street (3RC41)
Philadelphia, PA 19063-2029
215.814.3454 / 215.814.2603 fax

Shawn Garvin

Dennis - Thanks, totally understood. J...

01/21/2012 10:10:58 AM

From: Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US

To: Dennis

Carney/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Kathy
Hodgkiss/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael
Kulik/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Terri-A
White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Roy
Seneca/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Joan
Schafer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon
Capacasa/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, KarenD
Johnson/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Humane
Zia/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Cecil

Rodrigues/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 01/21/2012 10:10 AM

Subject: Re: Cabot Statement

Dennis - Thanks, totally understood. Just looking to get a perspective.

Shawn

From: Dennis Carney

Sent: 01/21/2012 07:57 AM EST

To: Shawn Garvin; Kathy

Hodgkiss; Michael Kulik; Terri-A

White; Roy Seneca; Joan Schafer;

Jon Capacasa; KarenD Johnson;

Humane Zia; Cecil Rodrigues

Subject: Re: Cabot Statement

Shawn, I'll defer to Humane on an exact date but their response to the 104e was received approximately 10 days ago. Reviews were started promptly but it is thousands of pages on a CD and will frankly take weeks to get thru it. Dennis.

From: Shawn Garvin

Sent: 01/20/2012 11:25 PM EST

To: Kathy Hodgkiss; Michael Kulik; Terri-A White; Roy Seneca; Joan Schafer: Jon Capacasa: Deni

Joan Schafer; Jon Capacasa; Dennis Carney; KarenD Johnson; Humane

Zia; Cecil Rodrigues

Subject: Re: Cabot Statement

Thanks. I am also interested on when we received the information.

Have a good weekend.

From: Kathy Hodgkiss

Sent: 01/20/2012 10:38 PM EST

To: Shawn Garvin; Michael Kulik; Terri-A White; Roy Seneca; Joan Schafer; Jon Capacasa; Dennis Carney; KarenD Johnson; Humane Zia; Cecil Rodrigues

Subject: Re: Cabot Statement

Cabot replied to a recent 104(e) letter, submitting its response on a CD. Not sure how many pages. Sending this to Humane and Karen Johnson who may have some sense

of the size of the reply.

From: Shawn Garvin

Sent: 01/20/2012 09:02 PM EST

To: Michael Kulik; Betsaida

Alcantara; Brendan Gilfillan; Terri-A

White; Kathy Hodgkiss; Roy

Seneca; Joan Schafer; Jon

Capacasa; Dennis Carney

Subject: Re: Cabot Statement

Jon, Kathy & Dennis - Can you give me an answer to statement that Cabot has given us over 10K pages of data.

Thanks - Shawn

From: Michael Kulik

Sent: 01/20/2012 08:18 PM EST

To: Betsaida Alcantara; Brendan

Gilfillan; Shawn Garvin; Terri-A

White; Kathy Hodgkiss; Roy

Seneca; Joan Schafer

Subject: Fw: Cabot Statement

Here it is to -----\Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services.

From: Michael Kulik

[michaelkulik@msn.com]

Sent: 01/20/2012 08:15 PM EST

To: Michael Kulik

Subject: Cabot Statement

Four Points on EPA Sampling Decision at Dimock, PA

Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation and others look forward to continuing our efforts to coordinate with the Dimock community and with state and federal regulators to address concerns regarding shale development in the area. We are disappointed that the federal EPA has undertaken a course regarding water sampling that seems inconsistent with what is known about Dimock and what was recommended by state regulators. EPA's zig-zag approach has caused confusion that undermines important policy goals of the United States to ensure safe, reliable, secure and clean energy sources from domestic natural

gas.

Here are four important points to consider:

- EPA has presented no credible evidence to suggest that its new sampling initiative is a wise use of resources given the collection and analysis of over 2000 water wells that has already occurred in the area. More than ten thousands pages of this data have been provided to EPA.
- EPA's concerns are inconsistent with the findings of state regulators who have concluded after extensive investigation that Dimock drinking water meets regulatory standards. State regulators are closest to the facts, and most familiar with ground water and geological formations in the area.
- EPA's initiative marks a change in position for the Agency, unsupported by any new facts. As recently as December

2011, EPA told Dimock residents that their drinking water did not present a health threat.

 What is needed is an objective approach to dealing with community concerns - something missing in recent EPA actions. EPA's changing posture on sampling in

2

Dimock is indicative of a broader problem of inconsistency with scientific process and a lack of cooperation with state and private sector parties. Cabot hopes that we can work with EPA to further review existing data and to establish a firmer basis for Agency decision making.

Personal Notes